

# Updated September 2023: Guidance on STAAR Transition Tables for TIA

Please see the announcement regarding <u>Delay in 2023 A–F Academic Accountability Ratings</u>. Based on that announcement, the Academic Growth Student Listing will no longer be available for districts ahead of the October 19, 2023, deadline for data submission. Please see below the updated guidance that districts should use to calculate student growth for transition tables and determine the percent of students who meet or exceed growth expectations.

Note, we would encourage districts that need additional support to join the upcoming data submission office hours: Tuesday, September 26<sup>th</sup> from 9:00 a.m.-10:00 a.m. (<u>registration link</u>) and Tuesday, October 10<sup>th</sup>, from 9:00 a.m.-10:00 a.m. (<u>registration link</u>) and to reach out if they encounter district-specific barriers to implementing the below guidance. We are committed to addressing those barriers or providing other options for districts and will share more information in the coming weeks.



#### Transition Table Guidance for Fall 2023 TIA Data Submission

For districts using STAAR transition tables, TEA recommends the following approaches to prepare for the October 19, 2023, data submission deadline. For more resources and considerations around determining designation, please review <u>TEA's Determining Designation Webinar</u>. Additionally, please see an example walkthrough on the following page.

# Optional Approach #1: Use Data from Learning Management System/Database to Determine Growth

- Accessing Learning Management System: If districts have access to a learning management system,
  there may be an option for districts to generate a report where growth points are calculated based on
  available data. Some examples of Learning Management Systems include <u>DMAC Solutions</u> or
  <u>Eduphoria!</u>. Districts would need to follow instructions that are specific to their learning management
  system to access and download a student-level report and determine transition tables.
- 2. Determine Transition Table Points by Students: If applicable, a district may have to use performance level indicators to determine the student-level transition table point (0, 1/2, or 1). Please use Figure 2, on the following page, to determine whether the 2022 and 2023 Performance Level Indicators would earn a student 0, 1/2, or 1 point of growth.
- 3. Assign Student Growth Scores to Teacher Rosters: Based on the district's system application and eligible teacher list, identify student rosters (by class and test-subject information) for each teacher in eligible assignments using Transition Tables as the student growth measure.
- 4. Calculate Teacher-level Student Growth Score: Calculate the percentage of students who earned at least 1 point of annual growth based on the released transition table data. **Note**: A district may also make a local decision to assign growth to a student who scores a ½ point.

### Optional Approach #2: Districts use 2023 CAF to Determine Performance Indicators

- 1. Access Relevant Performance Data: Districts can use the 2023 Certified Accountability File (CAF), available September 12, 2023, to determine growth for Math and RLA using performance level indicators. The 2023 CAF will contain prior year assessment data. Note, districts should ensure that they accurately link the appropriate assessment data. For example, a 3<sup>rd</sup> grade math assessment data will need to be linked with the 4<sup>th</sup> grade math assessment data. (Figures 1 on the following page)
- 2. Determine Preliminary Student-Level Transition Table Points: Based on released transition tables (see Figure 2), districts should determine whether a student earns a 0, ½, or 1 point for annual growth. (Figure 2) For instance:
  - a. If a student earned Meets Grade Level (2M) in 2022 and High Approaches (1H) in 2023, the student would be assigned a 0 for Annual Growth.
  - b. If a student earned a Low Approaches (1L) in 2022 and a High Approaches (1H) in 2023, the student would be assigned a 1 for Annual Growth.
- 3. Assign Student Growth Scores to Teacher Rosters: Based on the district's system application and eligible teacher list, identify student rosters (by class and test-subject information) for each teacher in eligible assignments using transition tables as the student growth measure. (Figure 3)
- 4. Calculate Teacher-level Student Growth Score: Calculate the percentage of students who earned at least 1 point of annual growth based on the released transition table data. **Note**: A district may also make a local decision to assign growth to a student who scores a ½ point.



# **Calculating Teacher-Level Student Growth Example**

### **CAF Column Headers:**

Figure 1: Performance Level Indicators within the CAF.

| 2023 STAAR                             | 2023 Performance Level | 2022 STAAR Assessed                    | 2022 Performance Level |  |  |
|--|------------------------|--|------------------------|--|--|
| Assessed Course                        | Indicator Location     | Course                                 | Indicator Location     |  |  |
| Algebra I                              | 644 - 645              | 8 <sup>th</sup> Math                   | 1922 - 1923            |  |  |
| English I                              | 710 - 711              | 8 <sup>th</sup> RLA                    | 1892 - 1893            |  |  |
| English II                             | 748 - 749              | English I                              | 1176 - 1177            |  |  |
| 4 <sup>th</sup> – 8 <sup>th</sup> Math | 1766 - 1767            | 4 <sup>th</sup> – 8 <sup>th</sup> Math | 1922 - 1923            |  |  |
| 4 <sup>th</sup> – 8 <sup>th</sup> RLA  | 1723 - 1724            | 4 <sup>th</sup> – 8 <sup>th</sup> RLA  | 1892 - 1893            |  |  |

Figure 2: Performance Indicators and Transition Table

| Performance Indicators will have the Using the nomenclature from the CAF, districts can translate |   |   |                   |                     |                                   |                         |                           |  |
|---|---|---|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| following nomenclature in the CAF:  | performance indicators to the transition table to determine |   |                   |                     |                                   |                         |                           |  |
|   | whether the student grew by 0, ½, or 1 point:               |   |                   |                     |                                   |                         |                           |  |
| • 00 = Excluded   |   |   | Annı              | ual Growth          |                                   |                         |                           |  |
| OL = Did Not Meet Low   | Prior Year  | Current Year  Low Did High Did Low High Machine |                   |                     |                                   |                         |                           |  |
| OH = Did not Meet High  |   | Not Meet<br>Grade                               | Not Meet<br>Grade | Approaches<br>Grade | High<br>Approaches<br>Grade Level | Meets<br>Grade<br>Level | Masters<br>Grade<br>Level |  |
| <ul> <li>1L = Approaches Low</li> <li>1H = Approaches High</li> </ul>                             | Low Did Not<br>Meet Grade<br>Level                          | Level<br>0                                      | Level             | Level               | 1                                 | 1                       | 1                         |  |
| <ul><li> 2M = Meets</li><li> 3M = Masters</li></ul>   | High Did Not<br>Meet Grade<br>Level                         | 0   | 1/2               | 1                   | 1                                 | 1                       | 1                         |  |
|   | Low Approaches<br>Grade Level                               | 0   | 0                 | 1/2                 | 1                                 | 1                       | 1                         |  |
|   | High Approaches<br>Grade Level                              | 0   | 0                 | 0                   | 1/2                               | 1                       | 1                         |  |
|   | Meets Grade<br>Level  | 0   | 0                 | 0                   | 0                                 | 1                       | 1                         |  |
|   | Masters Grade<br>Level                                      | 0   | 0                 | 0                   | 0                                 | 0                       | 1                         |  |

Figure 3: Example Student Roster

The following table is an example of a district's teacher-level student growth example and is not reflective of CAF. Here, the teacher would have a teacher-level student growth score (*Percent of Students who Meet or Exceed Growth Target*) of 75%.

| Subject | District<br>Name | Teacher | Campus<br>Name | Student<br>Name | Student<br>ID | PY<br>Tested<br>Grade | PY<br>Scale<br>Score | PY<br>Performance<br>Level Indicator | CY<br>Tested<br>Grade | CY<br>Scale<br>Score | CY<br>Performance<br>Level Indicator | Assigned<br>Growth |
|---------|------------------|---------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
|         | Flower           | Gloria  | Silver Ridge   | Kate            |               |                       |                      |                                      |                       |                      |                                      |                    |
| RLA     | ISD              | Rivera  | Elementary     | Houston         | 1111          | 4th                   | 4600                 | 2M                                   | 5th                   | 5048                 | 3M                                   | 1                  |
|         | Flower           | Gloria  | Silver Ridge   | Dallas          |               |                       |                      |                                      |                       |                      |                                      |                    |
| RLA     | ISD              | Rivera  | Elementary     | Reid            | 2222          | 4th                   | 1711                 | 1H                                   | 5th                   | 5040                 | 2M                                   | 1                  |
|         | Flower           | Gloria  | Silver Ridge   | Antonio         |               |                       |                      |                                      |                       |                      |                                      |                    |
| RLA     | ISD              | Rivera  | Elementary     | Sanchez         | 3333          | 4th                   | 4477                 | 1L                                   | 5th                   | 4951                 | 1H                                   | 1                  |
|         | Flower           | Gloria  | Silver Ridge   | Isabella        |               |                       |                      |                                      |                       |                      |                                      |                    |
| RLA     | ISD              | Rivera  | Elementary     | Austin          | 4444          | 4th                   | 1587                 | 1L                                   | 5th                   | 3624                 | 0H                                   | 0                  |





# **Frequently Asked Questions**

#### What are the implications for TIA districts due to the delay in accountability ratings?

Performance level indicators are available through the 2023 CAF for both 2022 and 2023. Districts are encouraged to follow the steps outlined above to calculate student growth data in preparation for data submission on October 19<sup>th</sup>.

#### Where can districts find more information about STAAR Transition Tables?

STAAR transition tables have been released and can be found at <u>TEA's Accountability Development Materials</u> webpage. Scale Score have been assigned to performance indicators in the following resources:

- EOC English and Math: <u>2023 STAAR Raw Scores and Scale Scores Associated with the Calculation of School Progress Academic Growth (texas.gov)</u>
- EOC Science and Social Studies: <u>STAAR Raw Scores and Scale Scores Associated with High and Low Did</u> Not Meet for Science and Social Studies (texas.gov)

#### For TIA, what is considered growth using STAAR transition tables?

Locally, districts set student growth targets for all student growth measures, including the transition tables. Generally, most districts will consider a student that scores one (1) point as meeting growth. We recommend districts view TIA training on <u>Determining Designations</u> in summer 2023 to consider which student growth targets are appropriate for your district and implications on the Data Validation process.

#### Do the changes to 2023 Accountability impact TIA Data Validation?

No. There are no anticipated changes to data validation based on the updated Accountability Framework. Districts should prepare for data submission by October 19, 2023. See the <a href="Data Submission and Validation">Data Submission and Validation</a> Webinar for additional guidance.

#### Does Accelerated Learning affect TIA?

No. The Accelerated Learning calculation is only used for School Progress, Part A: Academic Growth. TIA's methodology for measuring student growth is based on students meeting or exceeding their expected growth target. Districts are encouraged to use the annual growth rating when determining designations. For more information on the calculation, please see the <u>Supplemental A-F Refresh</u>.

#### Will STAAR/EOC Re-testers have annual growth measured through a STAAR transition table?

No. Re-tester growth measured through a STAAR transition table would not produce a valid or reliable measure of student growth and therefore is not included for accountability purposes. TIA Districts with approved systems for re-testers would need to develop a local transition table for this growth measure.

# Will STAAR transition tables cover 8th graders taking Algebra I and/or English I?

Yes. Accelerated math testers are measured for growth using the transition table model. With the switch to a transition table, STAAR 3-8 RLA and the English I EOC Accelerated RLA testers will now also be evaluated for progress.

